



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/082,557	,557 02/25/2002		Narayan D. Raju	16159.033001; P6181	3182
32615	7590	04/19/2005		EXA	MINER
OSHA LIANG L.L.P./SUN 1221 MCKINNEY, SUITE 2800				ZHOU, TING	
HOUSTON, TX 77010				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2173		

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/082,557	RAJU, NARAYAN D.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ting Zhou	2173				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statud Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed  O) days will be considered timely.  Forom the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 to	<u>December 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-16,18-21 and 26-30</u> is/are pen	ding in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-14 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5, 15-16, 18-21 and 26-30</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) $\square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. & 11	9(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority and or or or or or or	(4) (4) (1).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price						
application from the International Burea		•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not rec	eived.				
American						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	<b>∆</b> □	(DTO 442)				
2) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-092)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mary (PTO-413) ail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	5) Notice of Inform	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	6)					
	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050405				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

1. The amendment filed on 20 December 2004 have been received and entered. The applicant has withdrawn claims 7-14 from consideration, cancelled claims 6, 17 and 22-25, and added new claims 27-30. Claims 1-5, 15-16, 18-21 and 26-30 as amended are pending in the application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 15-16, 18-21, 26 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Vanderwilt et al. U.S. Patent 6,693,661 (hereinafter Vanderwilt) and Pavley et al. U.S. Patent 6,317,141 (hereinafter Pavley).

Referring to claim 1, Vanderwilt teaches a remote presentation system comprising a graphical user interface located on the client providing functionality to control the remote presentation system (users at remote PC's can access presentations via a web browser interface) (Vanderwilt: column 5, lines 51-57 and column 6, lines 1-5), a client logic located on the client providing an interface between the graphical user interface and the server (the web interface responds to requests received from web pages of remote network devices, or clients) (Vanderwilt: column 2, lines 4-43), a presentation application located on the server for executing

and displaying a presentation (the server contains a presentation engine that converts the presentation slides into a set of thumbnail images to be displayed) (Vanderwilt: column 4, lines 55-67 and column 7, lines 4-43), a server logic located on the server providing an interface between the presentation application and the client (the server contains logic to receive client selection of presentation slides and converts the slides to suitable format for display) (Vanderwilt: column 4, lines 55-67 and column 7, lines 4-43), and a presentation plug-in located on the remote display device providing an interface between the remote display device and the server (remote clients' browser has capabilities for viewing the presentation files sent by the server, i.e. scripts and applets in the browsers for generating a presentation file window for controlling, i.e. selecting at least one of the displayed thumbnail images) (Vanderwilt: column 2, lines 17-37, column 5, lines 51-column 6, lines 25 and column 7, lines 4-43), wherein the server logic comprises logic for obtaining the presentation as a series of thumbnails (converting the slides of the presentation to a set of thumbnail images) (Vanderwilt: column 7, lines 22-32 and column 9, lines 12-37). However, Vanderwilt fails to explicitly teach wherein one of the series of thumbnails is selected and modified while presenting a presentation to obtain a modified thumbnail, wherein the presentation is re-assembled to obtain a modified presentation using the modified thumbnail. Payley teaches a system that presents multimedia presentations (Payley: column 1, lines 25-29 and column 2, lines 44-51) similar to that of Vanderwilt. In addition, Pavley further teaches one of the series of thumbnails is selected and modified while presenting a presentation to obtain a modified thumbnail, wherein the presentation is re-assembled to obtain a modified presentation using the modified thumbnail (allowing users to select slide show object, s i.e. thumbnail images, for editing while presenting a presentation, i.e. in play mode; when the

parameters of the thumbnails have been edited, the results are applied to the image and displayed as a modified image and slide show, or presentation) (Pavley: column 12, lines 34-43 and column 13, lines 33-45). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Vanderwilt and Pavley before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the remote presentation system taught by Vanderwilt to include the presentation editing capabilities of Pavley. One would have been motivated to make such a combination in order to allow users to create an aesthetically pleasing and audience-oriented multimedia presentation that can be easily modified to fit their personal preferences, likings or a certain criteria.

Referring to claims 15 and 26, Vanderwilt teaches a method and apparatus comprising logging onto a server, initializing a graphical user interface using the server (users can log onto the Internet server to view web pages using the web browser as a graphical user interface) (Vanderwilt: column 1, line 66 – column 2, line 37), loading a presentation file onto the server, selecting a presentation application using logic of the server, opening the presentation file using the selected presentation application into a presentation (the server contains a presentation engine that converts the presentation slides into a set of thumbnail images to be displayed on a web browser) (Vanderwilt: column 4, lines 55-67 and column 7, lines 4-47), capturing the presentation as a plurality of thumbnails and forwarding the plurality of thumbnails to the graphical user interface located on the client (presentation files are transmitted to remote clients and captured, or converted to a set of thumbnails to be displayed on the web browser)

(Vanderwilt: page 4, lines 55-67 and column 7, lines 4-47), wherein a presentation plug-in is located on the remote display device providing an interface between the remote display device and the server and the client remotely controls at least one selected from the group consisting of

the modified thumbnail and the at least one of the plurality of thumbnails to display on the remote display device (remote clients' browser has capabilities for viewing the presentation files sent by the server, i.e. scripts and applets in the browsers for generating a presentation file window for controlling, i.e. selecting at least one of the displayed thumbnail images) (Vanderwilt: column 2, lines 17-37, column 5, lines 51-column 6, lines 25 and column 7, lines 4-43). However, Vanderwilt fails to explicitly teach selecting at least one of the plurality of thumbnails while presenting a presentation, modifying a thumbnail property of the at least one of the plurality of thumbnails to obtain a modified thumbnail and re-assembling the presentation to obtain a modified presentation using the modified thumbnail. Pavley teaches a system that presents multimedia presentations (Pavley: column 1, lines 25-29 and column 2, lines 44-51) similar to that of Vanderwilt. In addition, Pavley further teaches selecting at least one of the plurality of thumbnails while presenting a presentation, modifying a thumbnail property of the at least one of the plurality of thumbnails to obtain a modified thumbnail, and re-assembling the presentation to obtain a modified presentation using the modified thumbnail (allowing users to select slide show objects, i.e. thumbnail images, for editing while presenting a presentation, i.e. in play mode; when the parameters of the thumbnails have been edited, the results are applied to the image and displayed as a modified image and slide show, or presentation) (Pavley: column 12, lines 34-43 and column 13, lines 33-45). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Vanderwilt and Payley before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the remote presentation system taught by Vanderwilt to include the presentation editing capabilities of Pavley. One would have been motivated to make such a combination in order to allow users to create an aesthetically pleasing and audience-oriented

multimedia presentation that can be easily modified to fit their personal preferences, likings or a certain criteria.

Referring to claims 2 and 19, Vanderwilt teaches the graphical user interface is integrated within a web browser (the conference participants connected to the network transmits and views presentation slides through a web browser) (column 5 lines 51-57).

Referring to claims 3 and 18, Vanderwilt teaches the server logic is integrated within a web application server (the server is a web server) (column 2, lines 17-26).

Referring to claim 4, Vanderwilt teaches the client logic is running within a web browser (the conference participants connected to the network transmits and views presentation slides through a web browser) (column 5 lines 51-57).

Referring to claims 5 and 20, Vanderwilt, as modified, teach the graphical user interface comprises logic for dynamically modifying the presentation (editing the presentation, i.e. multimedia images) (Pavley: column 1, lines 44-50, column 12, lines 34-43 and column 13, lines 33-45).

Referring to claims 16 and 30, Vanderwilt, as modified, teach moving the at least one of the plurality of thumbnails to a new location within the presentation and re-assembling the presentation to obtain a modified presentation using the new location of the at least one of the plurality of thumbnails (users may edit properties such as the media object's position in the presentation slide show to in order to re-assemble, or change the order of the presentation objects) (Pavley: column 14, lines 26-38 and column 15, lines 59-64).

Application/Control Number: 10/082,557 Page 7

Art Unit: 2173

Referring to claim 21, Vanderwilt teaches the logic of the server includes logic for obtaining a presentation as a series of thumbnails (the presentation engine converts the slides of the presentation to a set of thumbnail image) (column 7, lines 22-32).

3. Claims 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Vanderwilt et al. U.S. Patent 6,693,661 (hereinafter Vanderwilt) and Pavley et al. U.S. Patent 6,317,141 (hereinafter Pavley), as applied to claims 1, 15 and 26 above, and Graf U.S. Patent 6,397,251.

Referring to claims 27-29, Vanderwilt and Pavley teach all of the limitation as applied to claims 1, 15 and 26 above. However, Vanderwilt and Pavley fail to explicitly teach the modified presentation transparently updates the presentation on the remote display. Graf teaches the distribution of multimedia presentations over an Internet network (Graf: column 1, lines 6-10) similar to that of Vanderwilt and Pavley. In addition, Graf further teaches the modified presentation transparently updates the presentation on the remote display (modifying properties of a multimedia file transparently from the user) (Graf: column 4, lines 32-38). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Vanderwilt, Pavley and Graf before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the presentation transmission and editing interface of Vanderwilt and Pavley to include the transparent updating of multimedia files taught by Graf. One would have been motivated to make such a combination in order to save the user time and hassle by automatically adjusting multimedia files without any interaction from the user.

## Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/082,557 Page 8

Art Unit: 2173

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 15-16, 18-21 and 26-30 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection:

- 5. Applicant's arguments of Vanderwilt and Angiulo failing to teach interactively modifying the thumbnail while presenting a presentation and updating the presentation slides that are displayed on the remote viewer while presenting the live presentation, and dynamically editing thumbnails in a slide presentation are moot in view of the combination of Vanderwilt and Pavley.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ting Zhou whose telephone number is (571) 272-4058. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca can be reached at (571) 272-4048. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-4058.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ΤZ

JOHN CABECA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100